<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-5, 11 and 26 are pending in this application. Claims 6-10 and 19-24, which are

directed to non-elected inventions in the Applicants' response filed on April 29, 2002, are

cancelled in this response, but Applicants still reserve a right to file a divisional application for the

non-elected inventions.

Claims 1-5, 11 and 26 were rejected under 35U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the enablement requirement. The office action alleges that the active material 2

would not be in contact with the electrolyte solution due to the coating layer 3 overlying the surface

of active material 2.

However, the coating layer contains at least one hydroxide, which is hydrophilic. Since the

coating layer overlying the active material is hydrophilic, the electrolyte solution permeates into

and contacts with the active material.

In addition, as comparing Examples A1 to A11 with Comparative Example a1, the nickel

electrodes of Examples A1 to A11 having the coating layer of the hydroxide show improved

results in storage characteristic compared with Comparative Example a1 without the coating

layer (page 14, line 14 to page 17, line 13, and page 21, Table 1). So long as the specification

describes a structure and its effects of the present invention, one skilled in the art do enable the

present invention without undue experimentation. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully

requested.

6

Application No. 09/622,615 Response dated December 1, 2003

Reply to Office Action of August 8, 2003

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicant submits

that claims 1-5, 11 and 26 are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an

early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number

indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petitions for an

appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due

with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Scott M. Daniels

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 32,562

Atty. Docket No. 000774

1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 700

Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 822-1100

Fax: (202) 822-1111

SMD/SY/mt

38834

38834

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Q:\2000\000774\000774 amd-1.doc

7